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SUMMARY:

Defendant’s conviction for criminal damaging was supported by sufficient evidence where credible testimony, together with ample circumstantial evidence, directly established that defendant did not have consent to damage an apartment building’s laundry machines and defendant admitted to attempting to pry money from the laundry machines’ coin slots.

Defendant’s conviction for criminal damaging was not against the weight of the evidence and the court did not patently lose its way in finding defendant was the cause of the damage where credible evidence established that a police officer heard the noise of tools banging on laundry machines, defendant was the only person the officer found in the laundry room, and defendant admitted to attempting to pry money from the laundry machines’ coin slots.

JUDGMENT: AFFIRMED

JUDGES: OPINION by WINKLER, J.; ZAYAS, P.J., and BOCK, J., CONCUR.