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SUMMARY:

The trial court did not err in denying defendant’s motion to suppress evidence seized from his wallet where the officer witnessed a traffic violation, stopped a vehicle, requested identification from the driver and passenger, discovered a warrant for the arrest of defendant, and subsequently conducted a search incident to arrest.

Where the state argued the good faith exception to the exclusionary rule applied because the arresting officer acted in good faith, the defendant failed to demonstrate that a legally-deficient warrant required suppression of the seized evidence.

JUDGMENT: AFFIRMED

JUDGES: OPINION by BERGERON, J.; CROUSE, P.J., and WINKLER, J., CONCUR.