IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NOS. C-230119 C-230176
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	TRIAL NO. B-2300067
vs.	:	JUDGMENT ENTRY.
NDUBUISI EZEH,	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

We consider these appeals on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court. *See* Rep.Op.R. 3.1; App.R. 11.1(E); 1st Dist. Loc.R. 11.1.

Defendant-appellant's appointed counsel has advised this court that, after a thorough review of the record, he can find nothing that would arguably support appellant's appeal, and that the appeal is wholly frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *see also Freels v. Hills*, 843 F.2d 958 (6th Cir.1988). Counsel, as required by *Anders*, has communicated this conclusion to appellant, and has offered appellant an opportunity to respond and to raise any issues. Counsel has also moved this court for permission to withdraw as counsel. *See Anders* at 744; *see also* 1st Dist. Loc.R. 16.2(C)(1) and 16.2(D)(2).

Counsel now requests that this court independently examine the record to determine whether the appeal is wholly frivolous. *See Anders* at 744. We have done so, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that the proceedings below were free of error

prejudicial to appellant and that no grounds exist to support a meritorious appeal. Therefore, we overrule counsel's motion to withdraw from his representation of appellant, and affirm the judgment of the trial court.

We hold that this appeal is frivolous under App.R. 23 and without "reasonable cause" under R.C. 2505.35. But we refrain from taxing costs and expenses against appellant because he is indigent.

Further, a certified copy of this judgment entry shall constitute the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27.

CROUSE, P.J., WINKLER and KINSLEY, JJ.

To the clerk:

Enter upon the journal of the court on December 29, 2023

per order of the court _____

Presiding Judge