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SUMMARY:

The juvenile court’s granting of permanent custody of the children to the Hamilton County Department of Job and Family Services was supported by sufficient evidence and was not against the manifest weight of the evidence because under R.C. 2151.414(B)(1)(a), the children had been in the temporary custody of the agency for more than 60 days and had been adjudicated abused, neglected, or dependent prior to the dispositional hearing and father failed to show that he could provide an adequate permanent home for the children under R.C. 2151.414(E)(4).

JUDGMENT: AFFIRMED

JUDGES: OPINION by KINSLEY, J.; CROUSE P.J., and WINKLER, J., CONCUR.