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SUMMARY:

The trial court erred in failing to consider whether the parties would have been married at the time of the child(ren)’s conception—absent Ohio’s unconstitutional ban on same-sex marriage— before finding that the same-sex consenting partner of a woman subject to nonspousal artificial insemination could not be recognized as a legal parent of the child(ren) under Ohio law.

JUDGMENT: REVERSED AND CAUSE REMANDED

JUDGES: OPINION by ZAYAS, P.J.; WINKLER and KINSLEY, JJ., CONCUR.