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SUMMARY:

The juvenile court’s decision was not against the manifest weight of the evidence when it granted permanent custody to the children services agency where appellant mother had not demonstrated long-term stability and sobriety, as required by her case plan, and the court properly considered all of the best-interest factors under R.C. 2151.414(D).

An appellate court does not review a juvenile court’s permanent-custody decision for an abuse of discretion; rather, the court reviews the decision under a sufficiency-of-the-evidence or manifest-weight-of-the-evidence standard, based upon the parties’ arguments.

JUDGMENT: Affirmed

JUDGES: Opinion by Crouse, J.; Bock, P.J., and Bergeron, J., concur.