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SUMMARY:

Where the trial court was not bound by the recommended sentence in the plea agreement and explained to defendant the difference in the potential sentence as opposed to what was stated on the plea form, the trial court did not err to defendant’s prejudice in deviating from the recommended sentence in the plea agreement, especially where defendant ultimately was sentenced to the four years she had bargained for.

Where the trial court explained the clerical error in the written plea form and confirmed defendant’s understanding of the correct potential sentence, defendant knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently entered the guilty plea.

JUDGMENT: AFFIRMED

JUDGES: OPINION by KINSLEY, J.; ZAYAS, P.J., and WINKLER, J., CONCUR.