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SUMMARY:

The trial court correctly found that defendant’s pre-*Miranda* statements were made during a noncustodial interview and it did not err by denying defendant’s motion to suppress where defendant voluntarily offered incriminating statements after detectives provided *Miranda* warnings.

There was sufficient evidence to support defendant’s tampering-with-evidence conviction where defendant, knowing that police would investigate the shooting that occurred inside of her home, admitted to finding the deceased’s phone after the shooting and placing it inside of her purse.

JUDGMENT: AFFIRMED

JUDGES: OPINION by BOCK, P.J.; ZAYAS and KINSLEY, JJ., CONCUR.