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SUMMARY:

The trial court erred when it found that the codefendants in a child-rape case were not entitled to the Hamilton County Department of Job and Family Services’ records where the records contain prior inconsistent statements and false accusations of abuse made by multiple state witnesses and child victims.

The state presented sufficient evidence in support of defendant’s convictions for rape where victim statements in medical records and forensic interviews described defendant’s penetrative acts with victims under the age of 13.

JUDGMENT: REVERSED AND CAUSE REMANDED

JUDGES: OPINION by BOCK, P.J.; BERGERON and WINKLER, JJ., CONCUR.