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SUMMARY:

The trial court did not abuse its discretion by denying mother’s applications to remove the guardian of her adult daughter and appoint her as guardian where the trial court based its decision on the best interests of the ward and the record supports the trial court’s decision.

The trial court did not err in concluding that the guardian had fulfilled its duties where the guardian supported the effort to move the ward to a less restrictive environment, acted in the ward’s best interests, and meaningfully visited with the ward at least five times in one year.

JUDGMENT: AFFIRMED

JUDGES: OPINION by BERGERON, J.; BOCK, P.J., and CROUSE, J., CONCUR.