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SUMMARY:

Defendant’s conviction for criminal trespass in violation of R.C. 2911.21(A)(3) was not supported by sufficient evidence where the evidence showed that she was the owner of the premises where she allegedly trespassed.

Defendant’s conviction for obstructing official business in violation of R.C. 2921.31(A) was supported by sufficient evidence and not against the manifest weight of the evidence where the evidence showed that, when a police officer attempted to enter her home to execute a search warrant, she pushed the door closed the first time the officer pried the door open and then, while swatting her arm at the officer, attempted to push the door closed the second time the officer pried the door open, to the point that the officer requested assistance from others on the scene with pushing the door open.

JUDGMENT: REVERSED AND APPELLANT DISCHARGED IN C-230548; AFFIRMED IN C-230549

JUDGES: OPINION by ZAYAS, P.J.; CROUSE and KINSLEY, JJ., CONCUR.